

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,535	(03/18/2002	Tatsuhiro Mizumasa	020348 6232	
38834	7590	04/15/2004		EXAM	INER
	-	TTORI, DANIELS	WHITMORE, STACY		
1250 CONNI SUITE 700	ECTICUT	AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON. DC	20036	2812		

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Cumment	10/098,535	MIZUMASA, TATSUHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stacy A Whitmore	2812				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 F	ebruary 2004 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,7-9,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
. 7)🖂	Claim(s) 2-6 and 10-14 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers						
<u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 March 2002</u> is/are: a						
44)[] :	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		. ,				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/098,535

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Travis et al. (US Patent 6,611,045).
- 2. As for claims 1 and 9, Travis discloses the invention as claimed, including a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device having first and second regions using first and second different power supply voltages wherein first and second design rules are applied to the first and second regions in accordance with first and second power supply voltages [abstract; col. 2, lines 45-56].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Application/Control Number: 10/098,535

Art Unit: 2812

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 7-8, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Travis (US Patent 6,611,045) in view of Chen (US Patent 6,399,486).
- 4. As for claims 7-8, and 15-16, Travis discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including the method of manufacturing a semiconductor device as cited in the rejection of claims 1 and 9.

Travis does not specifically disclose a dual-damascene process to form metal wirings (copper) in said regions.

Chen discloses dual-damascene process to form metal wirings (copper) in said regions [col. 5, lines 30-50].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Travis and Chen because Travis and Chen are concerned with the design of semiconductor circuits with wiring which would benefit from Chen's dual-damascene process (copper wirings) overcoming defects in the via and trench regions through the use of Chen's process, which would improve circuit design.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,535 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

5. Claims 2-6, and 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As for claims 2-6, and 10-14, the prior art of record fails to disclose the wiring intervals, distances between vias, wiring grooves and vias according the first and second design rules of the first and second regions ac claimed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy A Whitmore whose telephone number is (571) 272-1685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Friday 6:30am 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stacy A Whitmore

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2812

SAW